EXHIBIT 3 FILED UNDER SEAL

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Case No.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO

TRUCKING LLC,

Defendants.
)

HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SCOTT BOEHMKE

San Francisco, California

Monday, April 17, 2017

Volume I

Reported by:

SUZANNE F. GUDELJ, CSR No. 5111

Job No. 2596382

PAGES 1 - 79

Page 58 were envisioning 1 2 3 It does not say that in this document. Α 4 0 Okay. 5 This document was to demonstrate positioning that were the 6 7 lines shown in the graphs. What lines? 8 0 These lines (indicating). 9 And after you came up with what's in 10 Q 11 Exhibit G, what did you -- what did you do with this 12 information? So this information was the plan B which 13 Α was not pursued after going through commercial 14 15 third-party efforts with And plan A was 16 17 Α Mm-hmm. 18 Q Plan B was -- did it have a name other than 19 plan B? 20 It turned into Fuji. Α 21 And then what was plan C? 0 22 Α Plan C was the Spider. 23 Okay. Was there a plan D? Q 24 Α Not by name. What does that mean, "not by name"? 25 Q